



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.31, No.6

February 24th, 1995

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "Unfortunately centralisation is one of the easiest forms of organisation to arrange as distinct from its efficient operation, and is, in fact the only form which a mediocre and untrained intelligence can grasp." – C.H. Douglas

THE VICTORIAN REVOLUTION by Eric D. Butler:

Victorian Premier Jeff Kennett who, together with his Treasurer Alan Stockdale, has been in recent weeks calling upon the International Bankers and their associates in order to persuade them to finance the taking over of Victoria's resources – power, gas and, ultimately, water – is quoted as having said in New York that his Government was involved in a managerial "revolution" in Victoria. Obviously this comment was for the benefit of those to whom the Premier and his Treasurer are offering the State's most basic natural resources. Jeff Kennett is not generally regarded, even by some of his own colleagues, as one of the nation's deep thinkers. It is highly improbable that he has ever heard of a book entitled *The Managerial Revolution*, by James Burnham. Burnham was a former influential Marxist who later joined with Will Buckley's *National Review*. Burnham's thesis was, in one sense, an extension of Hilaire Belloc's *The Servile State*, in which the famous Roman Catholic writer argued that mankind was threatened by the establishment of a society in which officials had unbridled power. But Burnham's book might be described as a preview of what is being implemented in Victoria under the Kennett Government.

Treasurer Alan Stockdale was, according to one short study of his background, greatly influenced by the privatisation of the Thatcher era and as a young student absorbed the latest concerning modern planning while a student at the London School of Economics. According to a feature article in *The Melbourne Times* of February 8th, Kennett's sweeping "reforms" of Victoria's Municipalities has "spawned converts" among the bureaucrats to the teachings of two U.S.A. gurus, David Osborne and Ted Gaebler, authors of *Reinvesting Government*. This has become the bible of the new breed of planners taking over in Victoria. Victoria can now be described as the front runner in a national programme, outlined in the Hilmer Report. To understand the

enormity of what is planned, it is essential to sit down and look at Cr. Bevan O'Regan's second video on Local Government, *Councils at the Crossroads*. It is all there, including the concept of exposing all forms of State activities (including those of the newly re-structured Councils) to extensive competition.

"Privatisation" is a major part of the total revolutionary programme. The fact that an overwhelming majority of Victorians are opposed to what has been described as "the sale of the century" does not deter Kennett, Stockdale and their financial "advisers". They know what is best. The fact that privatisation has not produced the promised results in the United Kingdom; that the overall result is that the rich are getting richer while the great majority are getting poorer, creating major social problems, does not apparently worry the State's political masters. They keep arguing that they are driven by the pressure of debt to take the course they are on. Premier Kennett says that local investors lack the financial capital to invest in the State's public utilities. The Victorian A.L.P. "Opposition" pathetically says that it is looking at plans to force the re-sale of the State Electricity Commission if they regain office. It is too much to expect the Labor Party to suggest that they would use their own banking powers to finance the issue of shares to all Victorians, so that public ownership could be made a reality.

The privatisation of power in Victoria is designed to fit into the proposed national power grid system for the whole of Australia.

In the meantime the papers are full of advertisements for the new type of managers for Victoria's amalgamated Councils. Salaries being offered are from \$120,000 annually, plus cars and superannuation, upwards. It is now being openly stated that a "new" and more "progressive" type of Councillor is required for the future – when elections are permitted. These will, of course, have to be paid. The Councils of the future are envisaged as companies with Councillors as directors. The real policy making will be by the planners. Yes, Jeffrey Kennett, the "Managerial Revolution" has come to Victoria. But one thing is certain: it will not result in any of the promises associated with it being fulfilled. Victorians can make a start towards regaining control at the next State Elections.

THE U.K. VERSUS E.E.C. ON IMMIGRATION: The pro-multicultural Australian media has generally ignored the news that the British Major Government has been further jolted by the resignation of Immigration Minister Charles Wardle. Although Wardle has supported British membership of the "Common Market", he is alarmed by the threat of a "tidal wave of immigrants" flowing into the United Kingdom from Common Market countries. Large numbers of these immigrants are non-Europeans who have either legally or illegally entered Europe and under E.E.C. rules framed by the Brussels bureaucrats, are then free to move into the United Kingdom. Advertisements have appeared in newspapers around the world, including the Philippines, telling

would-be immigrants to the U.K. how they can "fiddle" the British welfare system. A recently-retired head of immigration, Peter Tompkins, revealed in *The Daily Mail* a year ago that Government statistics seriously underplayed the number of illegal immigrants now living freely untraced in the U.K.

But as Charles Wardle points out, unless the British Government challenges Brussels, a flood of immigrants can enter legally. Margaret Thatcher was hailed as a heroine in 1985 when she negotiated an opt-out from the Single European Act that would protect Britain's frontiers. In her memoirs, Margaret Thatcher says she derived most "satisfaction" from the so-called General Statement that nothing should affect the right of the U.K. to control the flow of immigrants. Clearly Margaret Thatcher was seriously misled. If the U.K. insists on controlling immigration, it could be taken to the European Court.

In his resignation statement, Charles Wardle said, "The British people have never been asked if they want to leave the back door open to uncontrolled numbers of immigrants. If they were, their answer would be a most emphatic No." Wardle warns that a flood of economic immigrants would place intolerable strains on social security and housing at taxpayers' expense. It would quickly and quite unfairly heighten racial tension for ethnic minorities lawfully residing here....."

Wardle says that he hopes to persuade Parliament and Prime Minister Major to take a firm stand on the question. Those advocates of Australia joining an Asian-Pacific Common Market are inviting the same type of problem now confronting the British: how to control the flow of immigrants into Australia. The essence of the Common Market philosophy is that ultimately there should be free movement of people as well as capital among the members of the Common Market. The U.K.'s experiences should be a warning to the Australian people. A nation which cannot control immigration has no future. This truth is now starting to dawn on even those British who originally endorsed the E.E.C.

DAVID IRVING CONFRONTS PROFESSOR LIPSTADT: Jewish Professor Deborah Lipstadt, who visited Australia last year, has been presented by the Zionist Jewish media as one of the world's leading experts on the "Holocaust". On November 11th, 1994, Irving managed to confront Lipstadt in Atlanta, Georgia, U.S.A., after she had addressed University students. With her scathing references to Irving, Lipstadt obviously did not know that Irving was present. At question time Irving seized the opportunity to speak, announced who he was, much to the dismay of Lipstadt, charged her with telling the students lies and held up \$1,000 which he would pay her if she could produce the blueprints of a gas chamber she claimed to have, one complete with holes through which the gas pellets were inserted. The good Professor screamed at Irving and a security guard was called. Irving had offered to show aerial photos of Auschwitz to prove that Lipstadt had been lying. The students accepted free autographed copies of one of his books. Copies of Irving's

historic video film, *The Search For Truth in History*, which Zionist Jews tried to prevent from being publicly shown in Australia, are available from all League addresses: \$30.00 posted.

"A SUCKER'S PUNCH"? Kenneth Davidson, financial writer for *The Age*, is one of those who endorse the view of Eric Butler (see last week's *On Target*) in his examination of the probable source of the "leaks" on possible spending cuts, seized upon by the Opposition. Davidson writes in *The Age* of February 15th: "Rather than the Paper being leaked by an embittered public servant, it is much more likely that Mr. Keating or Mr. Beazley or their political advisors came up with the idea of offering Mr. Howard a 'sucker's punch'....In summary, as unpalatable and as unrealistic as the cuts suggested in the paper were, they are still probably the least unpalatable and unrealistic that could be offered. Does the Opposition support these cuts or some alternative? The Government has laid a landmine which the Opposition must traverse at some time before the next elections despite Mr. Howard's apparent triumph at present."

BRIEF COMMENTS:

After the spending of tens of millions of dollars by both Canada and Australia, not one conviction has been obtained against an alleged "Nazi war criminal". But Zionist Jews and others are still persisting with demands that more alleged war criminals be tried. Former head of Canberra's Special Investigation Unit, Mr. Bob Greenwood, Q.C., has charged that there was a "gutless" approach to the subject, this bringing a strong response from former Attorney-General Michael Duffy who rejected Greenwood's comments as "irrelevant". Greenwood insists that Australia bungled a chance "to enhance its international reputation". As with the banning of British historian David Irving, the only "international reputation" which Australia has is one of jumping whenever the Zionists apply any pressure. But, as Mr. Duffy points out, the Australian Government is responsible to the Australian taxpayers and decided that "enough was enough" concerning War Crimes Trials. It is to be hoped that we hear no more of this matter.

* * * * *

The multi-racial U.N. forces which were to have brought food, peace and orderly government to Somalia is leaving after two years of disaster, leaving the country to the feuding war lords. This has been yet another costly and futile U.N. operation.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,
145 Russell Street, Melbourne. Telephone: (03) 650 9749, Fax: (03) 650 9368.
Subscription \$30 p.a.